



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/911,916	07/24/2001	Fabio Casati	10008278-1	4217
7590 05/18/2005			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			WOOD, WILLIAM H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2193	
			DATE MAILED: 05/18/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/911,916	CASATI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	William H. Wood	2193
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-31 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress   5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-31 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers	,	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.  ction is required if the drawing(s) in	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri  application from the International Bures  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in Apploity documents have been recall (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		mal Patent Application (PTO-152)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 050305

Art Unit: 2193

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-12 and 14-31 are pending and have been examined.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-12 and 14-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Casati** et al., "eFlow: a Platform for Developing and Managing Composite e-Services".

#### Claim 1

Casati disclosed an electronic metaservice methodology comprising:

- receiving a process definition (page 341, section 1);
- transforming the process definition into a composite process specification having a plurality of electronic services (page 345-347, section 5); and
- registering the composite process specification with at least one electronic service (page 347, left column, first paragraph).

## Claim 2

Casati disclosed the methodology as set forth in claim 1, said transforming further comprising:

 determining which elements of the composite process constitute specific electronic services and said designating said as linked service nodes of a continuous flow defining said composite process (page 344-347, sections 4 and 5).

#### Claim 3

Casati disclosed the methodology as set forth in claim 2 wherein said designating comprises:

 configuring each of the said service nodes with service invocation setup requirements for an associated one of said electronic services (page 344-345, section 4).

## Claim 4

**Casati** disclosed the methodology as set forth in claim 3 wherein said configuring further comprises:

 associating each of said service nodes with a sequential set of method nodes, wherein each said set of method nodes includes invocations of inherent operations associated with said associated one of said electronic services (page 344-347, sections 4 and 5). Application/Control Number: 09/911,916

Art Unit: 2193

Claim 5

Casati disclosed the methodology as set forth in claim 1 wherein said registering further

comprises:

establishing a repository of defined composite electronic services (page 341,

Page 4

section 1; page 345-347, section 5; existing e-services).

Casati disclosed the methodology as set forth in claim 5 wherein said transforming

further comprises:

using said defined composite electronic services in said repository for

configuring other composite electronic services (page 341, section 1; page

343, first paragraph under section 3).

Claim 7

Casati disclosed the methodology as set forth in claim 1 wherein said receiving further

comprises:

receiving said process definition as a process flow language for composing e-

services (page 344-345, section 4, note parameters and language examples;

page 345-347, section 5).

Claim 8

Casati disclosed the methodology as set forth in claim 1 further comprises:

Application/Control Number: 09/911,916

Art Unit: 2193

providing ancillary functions selected from a group including: changing the

process, managing individual electronic service definitions, monitoring run-

Page 5

time executions, and obtaining analytical-statistical reports regarding said

process (page 341, Abstract and section 1; page 345, left column, first full

paragraph).

Claim 9

Casati disclosed the methodology as set forth in claim 2 further comprises:

allowing service providers to invoke said composite process as an individual

electronic service via said existing electronic service (page 341, Abstract;

page 344-347, sections 4 and 5).

Claim 10

Casati disclosed the methodology as set forth in claim 1 in an internet environment

(page 341, Abstract and section 1).

<u>Claim 11</u>

Casati disclosed the methodology as set forth in claim 1 wherein said at least one

electronic service is an electronic services platform (page 341, Abstract and section 1).

Art Unit: 2193

## Claim 12

**Casati** disclosed the methodology as set forth in claim 1 wherein said at least one electronic service is an electronic service directory (page 344, section 4.1, second paragraph, "custoMove").

## Claims 13-22

The limitations of claims 13-22 are substantially the same as the limitations for claims 1-12 and as such are rejected in the same manner as claims 1-12. Electronic service business is disclosed as well on page 344, section 4, first paragraph.

## Claim 24

Casati disclosed the system as set forth in claim 23 further comprising:

• means for defining said specification (pages 343-347, sections 3-5).

## Claim 25

Casati disclosed the system as set forth in claim 23 further comprising:

 means for forming a repository of a plurality of electronic services of a type of said first electronic service (page 345-347, section 5; note repositories and abstracts).

#### Claim 26

Casati disclosed the system as set forth in claim 23 further comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/911,916

Art Unit: 2193

means for providing a work flow representative of said first composite
 electronic service such that said work flow is compatible with said electronic

Page 7

services platform structure (page 344-347, sections 4 and 5).

<u>Claim 27</u>

Casati disclosed the system as set forth in claim 26 further comprising:

means for providing an interaction gateway between said means for providing
 a work flow and said electronic services platform (page 341, Abstract; page

parameters, templates and dynamic process evolution).

344-347, sections 4 and 5; note for example specified configuration

Claim 28

Casati disclosed the system as set forth in claim 23 further comprising:

• means for monitoring said first electronic service (page 341, Abstract).

Casati disclosed the system as set forth in claim 23 further comprising:

• means for maintaining said first electronic service (page 341, Abstract; page

344, section 4, first two paragraphs).

Claim 30

Casati disclosed the system as set forth in claim 29 further comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/911,916 Page 8

Art Unit: 2193

 means for updating said first electronic service after registration with said electronic services platform (page 344-345, section 4).

## Claim 31

Casati disclosed the system as set forth in claim 29 further comprising:

 means for deleting said first electronic service from registration with said electronic services platform (page 345, left column, first full paragraph).

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 10 January 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argued: <sup>1)</sup> Casati does not teach transforming the process definition into a composite process specification that is to be executed by an electronic service; <sup>2)</sup> Casati does not teach registering the composite process specification and <sup>3)</sup> Casati does not teach a service to be compatible with a given electronic services platform. The arguments set forth are respectfully found unpersuasive.

First, **Casati** must transform the composite processes developed in section 5 on pages 345-347 in to something used be the electronic service. Otherwise it would not be executable.

Second, **Casati** does perform registering as indicated by the processes in the repository (page 347, as indicated originally).

Application/Control Number: 09/911,916 Page 9

Art Unit: 2193

Third, it is again noted that the services are compatible with the electronic services platform, as this is a necessity for deployment and execution (note page 341, right column, first full paragraph).

Thus, having addressed Applicant's concerns, the rejections are maintained.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

### Correspondence Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Wood whose telephone number is (571)-272-3736. The examiner can normally be reached 9:00am - 5:30pm Monday thru Friday.

Art Unit: 2193

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on (571)-272-3719. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9306 for regular communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

William H. Wood

May 5, 2005

TODD INGEERG
PRIMARY EXAMINER